

RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited

Dogger Bank South Offshore Wind Farms

In Principle Monitoring Plan (Revision 2) (Tracked) Volume 8

February 2025

Applicant Reference: 8.23 APFP Regulation: 5(2)(q) Revision: 02

Unrestricted



Company	:	RWE F	Renewables UK Dogger	Asset:	Devel	opment
		RWE F Bank	Renewables UK Dogger South (East) Limited			
Project:		Dogg Wind	er Bank South Offshore Farms	Sub Project/Packa	ge:	ents
Documen Descriptic	t Title or on:	Enviro	onmental Statement – In Prin	ciple Monitoring	g Plan (Revisior	1 2) (Tracked)
Documen	t Number:	0050	28843-02	Contractor Reference Nur	nber: ZZ-RP	40-RHD-ZZ- 9-X-0138
COPYRIG Dogger Bo	HT © RWE Re ank South (Ea	newab ıst) Limi	les UK Dogger Bank South (V ited, 2024. All rights reserve	Vest) Limited an d.	d RWE Renewc	ıbles UK
This docu relating to	ment is suppli this work, un	ied on c nder wh	and subject to the terms and ich this document has been s	conditions of the supplied, in part	e Contractual A icular:	Agreement
LIABILITY						
In preparation of this document RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited and RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited has made reasonable efforts to ensure that the content is accurate, up to date and complete for the purpose for which it was contracted. RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited and RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited makes no warranty as to the accuracy or completeness of material supplied by the client or their agent.						
Other than any liability on RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited and RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited detailed in the contracts between the parties for this work RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited and RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited shall have no liability for any loss, damage, injury, claim, expense, cost or other consequence arising as a result of use or reliance upon any information contained in or omitted from this document.						
Any persons intending to use this document should satisfy themselves as to its applicability for their intended purpose.						
The user of this document has the obligation to employ safe working practices for any activities referred to and to adopt specific practices appropriate to local conditions.						
Rev No.	Date		Status/Reason for Issue	Author	Checked by	Approved by
01	June 202	24	Final for DCO Application	RHDHV	RWE	RWE

Submission for Deadline 2

RHDHV

RWE

RWE

02

February 2025



Revision Change Log						
Rev No.	Page	Section	Description			
01	N/A	N/A	Submission for DCO application			
02	N/A	N/A	Document updated for Project Change Request 1 – Offshore and Intertidal Works.			
02	5	Glossary	Removal of reference to the ESP.			
02	12	1.2	Minor text updates.			
02	13-15	1.3	Updates to Table 1-1 Key Relevant Parameters			
02	19-38	1.6	Updates to monitoring proposals based on Project Change Request 1 – Offshore and Intertidal Works and MMO's Relevant Representation [RR-030].			





1	In F	Princip	ble Monitoring Plan	10
	1.1	Purp	oose of the In Principle Monitoring Plan	10
	1.2	Bac	<pre></pre>	11
	1.3	Кеу	Relevant Parameters	13
	1.4	Gen	eral Guiding Principles for the Proposed Monitoring	15
	1.4	ŀ.1	Consideration of Development Scenarios	17
	1.5	The	Projects Residual Effects	18
	1.6	In-P	rinciple Proposals for Monitoring	19
	1.6	5.1	Engineering Related Monitoring	19
	1.6	6.2	Strategic Monitoring	20
	1.6	6.3	Marine Physical Environment	21
	1	L.6.3.1	L Conclusions of the Environmental Statement	21
	1	L.6.3.2	2 Conclusions of the RIAA	21
	1	L.6.3.3	3 Conclusions of the Marine Conservation Zone Assessment	21
	1	L.6.3.4	1 In-Principle Monitoring	21
	1.6	6.4	Benthic and Intertidal Ecology	23
	1	L.6.4.1	L Conclusions of the Environmental Statement	23
	1	L.6.4.2	2 Conclusions of the RIAA	23
	1	L.6.4.3	3 Conclusions of the Marine Conservation Zone Assessment	23
	1	L.6.4.4	1 In-Principle Monitoring	23
	1.6	6.5	Fish and Shellfish Ecology	26
	1	L.6.5.1	L Conclusions of the Environmental Statement	26
	1	L.6.5.2	2 Conclusions of the RIAA	26
	1	L.6.5.3	3 In-Principle Monitoring	26
	1.6	6.6	Marine Mammals	28
	1	L.6.6.1	L Conclusions of the Environmental Statement	28
	1	L.6.6.2	2 Conclusions of the RIAA	29
	1	L.6.6.3	3 In-Principle Monitoring	30
	1.6	6.7	Offshore Ornithology	30
	1	L.6.7.1	L Conclusions of the Environmental Statement	30
	1	L.6.7.2	2 Conclusions of the RIAA	31
	1	L.6.7.3	3 In-Principle Monitoring	31

Unrestricted

Contents



1.6.8 Co	ommercial Fisheries	35
1.6.8.1	Conclusions of the Environmental Statement	35
1.6.8.2	In-Principle Monitoring	35
1.6.9 Sh	ipping and Navigation	
1.6.9.1	Conclusions of the Environmental Statement	35
1.6.9.2	In-Principle Monitoring	35
1.6.10 Of	fshore Archaeology and Cultural Heritage	37
1.6.10.1	Conclusions of the Environmental Statement	37
1.6.10.2	In-Principle Monitoring	37
References		

Tables

Table 1-1 Key Relevant Parameters	13
Table 1-2 In-Principle Monitoring Proposed – Marine Physical Environment	22
Table 1-3 In-Principle Monitoring Proposed – Benthic and Intertidal Ecology	25
Table 1-4 In-Principle Monitoring Proposed – Fish and Shellfish Ecology	27
Table 1-5 In-Principle Monitoring Proposed – Offshore Ornithology	34
Table 1-6 In-Principle Monitoring Proposed – Shipping and Navigation	36
Table 1-7 In-Principle Monitoring Proposed – Offshore Archaeology and Cultural Herito	age 38



Glossary

Term	Definition		
Accommodation Platform	An offshore platform (situated within either the DBS East or DBS West Array Area) that would provide accommodation and mess facilities for staff when carrying out activities for the Projects.		
Array Areas	The DBS East and DBS West offshore Array Areas, where the Wind Turbines, offshore platforms and Array Cables would be located. The Array Areas do not include the Offshore Export Cable Corridor or [that part of] the Inter-Platform Cable Corridor [within which no Wind Turbines are proposed]. Each area is referred to separately as an Array Area.		
Array cables	Offshore cables which link the wind turbines to the Offshore Converter Platform(s).		
Collision	The act or process of colliding (crashing) between two moving objects.		
Concurrent Scenario	A potential construction scenario for the Projects where DBS East and DBS West are both constructed at the same time.		
Development Consent Order (DCO)	An order made under the Planning Act 2008 granting development consent for one or more Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP).		
Development Scenario	Description of how the DBS East and/or DBS West Projects would be constructed either in isolation, sequentially or concurrently.		
Electrical Switching Platform (ESP)	The Electrical Switching Platform (ESP), if required would be located either within one of the Array Areas (alongside an Offshore Converter Platform (OCP)) or the Export Cable Platform Search Area.		
Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)	A statutory process by which certain planned projects must be assessed before a formal decision to proceed can be made. It involves the collection and consideration of environmental information, which fulfils the assessment requirements of the EIA Directive and EIA Regulations, including the publication of an Environmental Statement (ES).		



Term	Definition		
Evidence Plan Process (EPP)	A voluntary consultation process with specialist stakeholders to agree the approach, and information to support, the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) and Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) for certain topics.		
In Isolation Scenario	A potential construction scenario for one Project which includes either the DBS East or DBS West array, associated offshore and onshore cabling and only the eastern Onshore Converter Station within the Onshore Substation Zone and only the northern route of the onward cable route to the proposed Birkhill Wood National Grid Substation.		
Inter-Platform Cables	Buried offshore cables which link offshore platforms.		
Landfall	The point on the coastline at which the Offshore Export Cables are brought onshore, connecting to the onshore cables at the Transition Joint Bay (TJB) above mean high water.		
Offshore Converter Platforms (OCPs)	The OCPs are fixed structures located within the Array Areas that collect the AC power generated by the Wind Turbines and convert the power to DC, before transmission through the Offshore Export Cables to the Project's Onshore Grid Connection Points.		
Offshore Development Area	The Offshore Development Area for ES encompasses both the DBS East and West Array Areas, the Inter-Platform Cable Corridor, the Offshore Export Cable Corridor, plus the associated Construction Buffer Zones.		
Onshore Export Cable Corridor	This is the area which includes cable trenches, haul roads, spoil storage areas, and limits of deviation for micro-siting. For assessment purposes, the cable corridor does not include the Onshore Converter Stations, Transition Joint Bays or temporary access routes; but includes Temporary Construction Compounds (purely for the cable route).		
<u>Project Change</u> <u>Request 1</u>	The changes to the DCO application for the Projects set out in Project Change Request 1 - Offshore & Intertidal Works [AS- 141] which was accepted into Examination on 21 st January 2025.		
Scour protection	Protective materials to avoid sediment erosion from the base of the Wind Turbine foundations and offshore substation platform foundations due to water flow.		

Unrestricted

Page 6

005028843



Term	Definition
Sequential Scenario	A potential construction scenario for the Projects where DBS East and DBS West are constructed with a lag between the commencement of construction activities. Either Project could be built first.
The Projects	DBS East and DBS West (collectively referred to as the Dogger Bank South Offshore Wind Farms).
Wind turbine	Power generating device that is driven by the kinetic energy of the wind.



Acronyms

Term	Definition
AIS	Automatic Identification System
CSIMP	Cable Burial and Specification, Installation and Monitoring Plan
DBS	Dogger Bank South
DCO	Development Consent Order
DESNZ	Department for Energy Security and Net Zero
DML	Deemed Marine Licence
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
ES	Environmental Statement
ESP	Electrical Switching Platform
HRA	Habitats Risk Regulations Assessment
IPMP	In-Principle Monitoring Plan
KSIMP	Kittiwake Strategic Implementation Monitoring Plan
LAT	Lowest Astronomical Tide
MBES	Multibeam Echosounder
МСА	Maritime and Coastguard Agency
MCZ	Marine Conservation Zone
MCZA	Marine Conservation Zone Assessment
MHWS	Mean High Water Springs
MMMP	Marine Mammal Mitigation Protocol

Unrestricted 005028843



Term	Definition
ММО	Marine Management Organisation
OCP	Offshore Converter Platforms
OWEAP	Offshore Wind Enabling Actions Programme
SAC	Special Area of Conservation
SBP	Sub-Bottom Profiler
SIP	Site Integrity Plan
SNCB	Statutory Nature Conservation Body
SPA	Special Protection Area
SSS	Side-scan Sonar
UK	United Kingdom
UXO	Unexploded Ordnance
WSI	Written Scheme of Investigation



1 In Principle Monitoring Plan

1.1 Purpose of the In Principle Monitoring Plan

- 1. This In Principle Monitoring Plan (IPMP) has been produced in order to provide the basis for delivering the monitoring measures as required by the conditions contained within the Deemed Marine Licences (DMLs) for the Dogger Bank South (DBS) East and DBS West Offshore Wind Farms, collectively known as DBS Offshore Wind Farms (herein 'the Projects').
- 2. As the owners of DBS East and West, RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited and RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited are 'the Applicants' within this IPMP.
- 3. The IPMP provides a key mechanism through which the relevant regulatory authorities can be assured that required offshore monitoring activities associated with the construction and operation of the offshore infrastructure for the Projects would be formally controlled.
- 4. The IPMP provides a framework for further discussions post consent with the Marine Management Organisation (MMO), the relevant Statutory Nature Conservation Bodies (SNCB) and advisors (e.g., Maritime and Coastguard Agency (MCA) and The Wildlife Trusts where relevant)) to agree the exact detail (timings, methodologies etc.) of the monitoring that is required. Due to the long lead in time for the development of offshore wind farms it is not desirable or effective to provide final detailed method statements prior to consent being granted. However, agreeing guiding principles reinforces commitments made in the Environmental Statement (ES) and complements Conditions set out in the DMLs and allows refinements to be made based on the best available knowledge and technology. Final detailed plans for monitoring work would be produced closer to the time that the actual work would be undertaken.
- 5. The relevant topics and / or receptor groups discussed in this plan are as follows:
 - Marine Physical Environment;
 - Benthic and Intertidal Ecology;
 - Fish and Shellfish Ecology;
 - Marine Mammals;
 - Offshore Ornithology;
 - Commercial Fisheries;

Unrestricted 005028843



- Shipping and Navigation; and
- Offshore Archaeology and Cultural Heritage.
- 6. Monitoring requirements in relation to Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) are also considered for Benthic and Intertidal Ecology, Fish and Shellfish Ecology, Marine Mammals and Offshore Ornithology.
- 7. Monitoring requirements in relation to HRA are covered within this document but are also addressed in the relevant compensation plan documents:
 - Volume 6, Appendix 1 Project Level Kittiwake Compensation Plan (application 6.2.1);
 - Volume 6, Appendix 2 Guillemot [and Razorbill] Compensation Plan (application ref: 6.2.2)); and
 - Volume 6, Appendix 3 Project Level Dogger Bank Compensation Plan (application ref: 6.2.3).
- 8. In addition, monitoring requirements in relation to the Marine Conservation Zone Assessment (MCZA) are also considered for Marine Physical Environment, and Benthic and Intertidal Ecology.

1.2 Background

- 9. The DBS East Array Area would cover an area of approximately 349km² and is located approximately 122km from the coast, whereas DSB West Array Area covers an area of 355km² and is located approximately 100km from the coast. Both Projects make Landfall on the East Riding of Yorkshire coastline near Skipsea to (up to) two newly constructed onshore converter stations before onward onshore cable routeing to the proposed Birkhill Wood National Grid Substation, to the south of Beverley.
- 10. Between 113 and 200 wind turbines would be installed across both Projects. For assessment purposes, it is assumed that between 57 and 100 wind turbines may be installed for DBS East or DBS West in isolation.¹.

¹ In situations where a number does not divide equally between DBS East and DBS West (e.g. 113 large turbines), they are rounded up to higher number (e.g. 57 large turbines as opposed to 56.5) for the purposes of assessing the worst case scenario





- 11. Depending on the Development Scenario (section 1.4.1), the Array Areas could be connected to one another via Inter-Platform Cables, with a maximum of <u>eight three</u> offshore platforms combined between the Projects. The Offshore Export Cable Corridor would connect the Array Areas with the Landfall near Skipsea. These would consist of up to four electrical cables along an integrated corridor running from Landfall to a distance of approximately 80km from shore, where the cable corridors serving each Project would diverge into two branches serving the individual Projects. Two electrical cables would be located within each branch. In the worst case scenario, these cables would be installed within individual separate trenches. An Onshore Export Cable Corridor would link the Landfall with the newly constructed onshore converter stations before onward onshore cable routeing to the proposed Birkhill Wood National Grid Substation.
- 12. Water depths across DBS East Array Area, DBS West Array Area and the inter-platform cabling area range from approximately 12 40m below Lowest Astronomical Tide (LAT). The seabed along the Offshore Export Cable Corridor gently slopes from the Landfall where water depths are shallow, to a maximum of 60m below LAT about 8km offshore. Water depths then shallow to a minimum of 15m below LAT as the Offshore Export Cable Corridor approaches the DBS Array Areas.
- 13. The key offshore components comprise:
 - Wind turbines;
 - Offshore platforms Offshore Convertor Platforms (OCPs), an Electrical Switching Platform (ESP) and an Accommodation Platform (hereafter collectively referred to as 'offshore platforms' unless specified);
 - Foundation structures for wind turbines and offshore platforms;
 - Array cables;
 - Inter-Platform Cables;
 - Offshore High Voltage Direct Current (HVDC) export cables from the Array Areas to the Landfall; and
 - Scour / cable protection (where required).
- 14. The detailed design of the Projects (e.g. numbers of wind turbines, layout configuration, foundation type and requirement for scour protection) would be determined post-consent. Therefore, the key parameters presented in **Table 1-1** are indicative based on current information and assumptions.
- 15. Construction of the Projects is anticipated to commence at the earliest in 2026.

Unrestricted

Page 12

005028843



1.3 Key Relevant Parameters

Table 1-1 Key Relevant Parameters

Parameter	Details			
	DBS East	DBS West	Combined	
Indicative construction duration (years) (excluding Landfall works)	5	5	5 (7 years if sequential build)	
Anticipated design life (years)	30	30	30 (32 if sequential build)	
Number of wind turbines. ²	57-100	57-100	113-200	
Total Array Area agreed in Agreement for Lease (km²)	494.5	494.5	989	
Total Array Area assessed for ES (km²)	349	355	874. ³	
Closest point from Array Area to coast (km)	122	100	100	
Length of export cable to Landfall (per cable) (km)	188 per cable	153 per cable	N/A	
Maximum offshore cable length (km) for all cables	376	306	682	
Maximum number of export cables and trenches	2	2	4	

² In situations where a number does not divide equally between DBS East and DBS West (e.g. 113 turbines), rounded up to higher number (e.g. 57 31.5MW turbines as opposed to 56.5).
 ³ Total Array Area assessed for ES for the Projects combined includes 170km² for Inter Platform Cabling Corridor located between DBS East and DBS West.

Unrestricted





Parameter	Details				
	DBS East	DBS West	Combined		
Maximum number of trenches. ⁴	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	2		
Maximum total length of all array cables (km)	325<u>350</u>	325<u>350</u>	650<u>700</u>		
Indicative turbine rotor diameter – small turbines (m)		259			
Indicative turbine rotor diameter – large turbines (m)	344				
Maximum tip height above MHWS (m)	394				
Minimum lower blade tip clearance to MSL (m)	34				
Rotor swept area (km²)	5.263	5.263	10.526		
Minimum turbine spacing (centre to centre) (m)	830				
Rotor cut-out wind speed (m/s) (assumed)	>25				
Maximum Inter-Platform Cable length (km)	115<u>23</u>	129<u>23</u>	342<u>161</u>		
Wind turbine foundation type options	Steel monopile, piled jacket				

⁴ Offshore export cables from DBS East and DBS West respectively will be bundled together in a single trench.



Parameter	Details			
	DBS East	DBS West	Combined	
Maximum number of offshore platforms	<u>2</u> 4 (ESP may be located in the Offshore Export Cable Corridor or Array Area)	4 (ESP may be located in the Offshore Export Cable Corridor or Array Area)2	8 (ESP may be located in the Offshore Export Cable Corridor or a single Array Area) <u>3</u>	
Offshore platform foundation type options (Array Areas)	Steel monopile, piled jacket			
Offshore platform foundation type options (Offshore Export Cable Corridor)	Steel monopile, piled jacket, suction bucket jacket, gravity based foundation			
Hammer energies (kilojoules) (kJ)	Maximum hammer energy for monopiles: Up to 6,000kJ			
	Maximum hammer energy for pin-piles: up to 3,000kJ			
Maximum pile diameter (m)	4m for piled jackets 15m for monopiles			

1.4 **General Guiding Principles for the Proposed Monitoring**

- 16. Throughout the Environment Impact Assessment (EIA) process, the Applicants have taken steps to avoid or reduce significant effects either through the iterative process of project design ('embedded mitigation' e.g. the removal of gravity base structures from the Array Areas or by 'additional' mitigation measures which would be applied during the construction, operation and maintenance or decommissioning stages of the DBS Offshore Wind Farms.
- 17. The guiding principles for monitoring and which apply in general to the inprinciple monitoring outlined in this document are as follows:
 - All consent conditions, which would include those for monitoring, should be "necessary, relevant to planning, relevant to the development to be consented, enforceable, precise, and reasonable in all other respects" as

Unrestricted 005028843



set out in Paragraph 4.1.16 of the National Policy Statement (NPS) EN-1 (DESNZ, 2023) and Paragraph 56 of the National Planning Policy Framework (Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities, 2012).

- In line with good practice, monitoring must have a clear purpose in order to provide answers to specific questions where significant environmental effects have been identified (Cefas, 2012; Glasson *et al.*, 2011; OSPAR 2008). As such, monitoring proposals should have an identified end date and confirmed outputs, which provide statistically robust datasets, as applicable to the hypothesis being tested.
- Monitoring should be targeted to address significant evidence gaps or uncertainty (including on the effectiveness of mitigation), which are relevant to the Projects and can be realistically filled, as well as those species or features considered to be the most sensitive to the Projects' effects including those of conservation, ecological and / or economic importance.
- Proposals for monitoring should be based, as a starting point, on the best practice and outcomes of the latest review of environmental data associated with post-consent monitoring of licence conditions of Offshore Wind Farms (MMO, 2014) and applying more recent best practice guidance and lessons learnt (including from the existing Dogger Bank A, B and C and Sofia programmes) where relevant.
- The scope and design of all monitoring work should be finalised and agreed following review of the results of any preceding survey and / or monitoring work (i.e. an adaptive monitoring approach), including those surveys conducted in support of the EIA. This includes the potential for survey requirements to be adapted based on the results of the monitoring outlined in this document, including in the event that unforeseen effects arise, which may in turn give rise to the need for adaptive management measures to be considered. Where it has been agreed that there are **no** significant effects, monitoring need not be conditioned through the DMLs.
- 18. The Applicants are supportive of appropriate strategic monitoring studies. Where the Applicants are made aware of new strategic monitoring studies and they are aligned with the Applicants' business goals, they would discuss with the relevant authorities if they are appropriate to discharging specific DBS East and DBS West DML conditions. See section 1.6.2 for further details.

Unrestricted 005028843



1.4.1 Consideration of Development Scenarios

- 19. The Applicants are seeking to coordinate the development of the Projects as far as possible. The preferred option is a Development Scenario with an integrated transmission system, providing transmission infrastructure which serves both of the wind farms, where both Projects are built concurrently and would co-locate infrastructure to reduce the overall environmental impact and disruption. Therefore, under this scenario post-construction monitoring would be coordinated to begin in an appropriate manner once both Projects had completed construction.
- 20. However, it is recognised that due to the various Development Scenarios (see section 5.1.1 of Volume 7, Chapter 5 Project Description (application ref: 7.5), there could be a gap of up to two years between the completion of offshore construction works of each Project. As such careful consideration would need to be given to pre and post-construction monitoring timescales.
- 21. A key consideration is the potential for the effects from construction activities of the Projects to interact since this could potentially influence monitoring results.
- 22. Since the Development Scenario and construction programme would not be determined until post-consent, the Applicants consider that details of the monitoring programme should be agreed through the development of topic specific monitoring plans that would be produced prior to the start of construction, as conditioned in the DMLs. This would enable those plans to take account of the nature of the impact in question and the monitoring that is proposed in relation to it. Notwithstanding this, where relevant, consideration has been given in the in-principle monitoring proposals included below as to whether the timing of construction activities between Projects is likely to be a relevant concern.

Unrestricted 005028843



23. The Applicants note that there is precedent for this approach, for example Dogger Bank Creyke Beck A & B (now Dogger Bank A and B) and Dogger Bank Teesside A & B (now Dogger Bank C and Sofia), which at the time that they were consented both featured two wind farms in a single Development Consent Order (DCO) with each having a shared IPMP addressing the possibility of the Projects being constructed concurrently or sequentially. In practice, offshore construction of the first three of these wind farms (collectively termed Dogger Bank Wind Farm) is being undertaken in three stages, although some construction works would overlap. The Sofia offshore wind farm is being progressed by a different developer on its own timeframe although again some offshore construction works would overlap with stages of DBS. In each case, the details of the monitoring programmes have been agreed at the post-consent stage to take account of the actual construction programmes and details of the works to be undertaken, accounting for the timings for the completion of construction on each project.

1.5 The Projects Residual Effects

- 24. The EIA predicts the residual effect to receptors taking into account:
 - Linkages using the source > pathway > receptor model;
 - Embedded / additional mitigation;
 - Receptor sensitivity;
 - Ecological / economic importance / value and
 - Magnitude of impact.
- 25. The significance of the residual effect should not in its own right necessarily lead to the requirement for monitoring. Monitoring should be targeted to address significant evidence gaps or uncertainty, which are relevant to the Projects and can be realistically filled.
- 26. For each receptor, the residual effects and major areas of uncertainty as predicted within the EIA (or HRA), (and any updated assessments as indicated throughout) are detailed. Monitoring has been deemed necessary and required as part of the DML where moderate or major adverse effects are predicted in the EIA, an Adverse Effect on Integrity (AEoI) is predicted in the HRA, or where uncertainty remains at an industry-wide level.



1.6 In-Principle Proposals for Monitoring

- 27. The following sections set out the in-principle proposals for monitoring in relation to each of the topics and / or receptor groups covered in the EIA and HRA.
- 28. While accepting that this IPMP represents the best approach to monitoring available at the time of writing, it is recognised that the outcomes of the survey work discussed could influence future monitoring requirements, methodologies, focus and effort for the Projects, as knowledge and understanding develops. For example, where appropriate, and in consultation with the MMO and its advisors, these scopes may be refined to consider other relevant studies carried out by other neighbouring projects in the region. This is a key principle for an adaptive approach to monitoring and would be the subject of ongoing consultation between the Applicants, the MMO and its advisors, as discussed under guiding principles (see section 1.4).
- 29. This document has been submitted with the DCO application and would be used as a basis for further discussions post-consent.

1.6.1 Engineering Related Monitoring

- 30. In addition to the environmental survey and monitoring required as conditions of the DMLs within the DCO, additional studies would be undertaken for engineering purposes. Some of these would overlap with the conditioned monitoring and wherever possible the Applicants would look to combine surveys for monitoring purposes with those already being carried out for engineering purposes. These are:
 - Geophysical;
 - Geotechnical;
 - Unexploded Ordnance (UXO) survey;
 - Remotely Operated Vehicle (ROV) survey; and
 - Cable burial survey.
- 31. Other relevant Plans required under the DML with commitments to monitoring (linked to those listed above) are:
 - An Outline Cable Burial and Specification, Installation and Monitoring Plan (CSIMP) is detailed within Volume 8, Cable Statement (application ref: 8.20);
 - Cable Protection Plans produced in line with the detail outlined in Volume
 8, Cable Statement (application ref: 8.20);

Unrestricted 005028843



- <u>A Scour Protection Plan produced in line with the detail outlined in</u> **Volume 8, Outline Scour Protection Plan (application ref: 8.27)** (monitoring of scour and protection measures); and
- Volume 8, Outline Offshore Operations and Maintenance Plan (OOMP) (application ref: 8.24).

1.6.2 Strategic Monitoring

- 32. RWE Renewables is actively involved with the following strategic initiatives:
 - The Offshore Wind Strategic Monitoring Research Forum (OWSMRF), which is addressing wider knowledge gaps and industry priorities, focussed on marine birds.
 - ORJIP, of which RWE is a Stage 2 partner.
 - Defra's Offshore Wind Enabling Actions Programme (OWEAP).
- 33. As noted in section 1.3, where appropriate strategic monitoring studies are available, the Applicants would discuss with the relevant authorities if they are appropriate to enable the discharging of specific DBS East and DBS West DML conditions. This is considered to be particularly relevant to marine mammals and ornithology as reflected in sections 1.6.7 and 1.6.8.
- 34. RWE Renewables are undertaking the following:
 - BMWK JIP: Monitoring soil properties on offshore structures (4D Seismic on Piles) SOP;
 - FEM DP: Monitoring marine megafauna through an integrated approach towards an observatory network (MMERMAID);
 - FEM: Offshore wind farm surveys of marine megafauna: Standardisation of tools and methods for monitoring at farm scales (OWFSOMM); and
 - FEM DP: Effective monitoring strategies to identify and evaluate effects of offshore wind farms on fish communities (FISH-OWF).

Unrestricted 005028843



1.6.3 Marine Physical Environment

1.6.3.1 Conclusions of the Environmental Statement

35. No residual effects greater than **minor adverse** were predicted within the ES. The Applicants would wish to survey areas using appropriate geophysical surveys including high resolution bathymetric, multibeam echosounder (MBES), sub-bottom profiler (SBBP), and side- scan sonar (SSS) surveys of the area(s) within the Offshore Development Area for engineering purposes. The data arising would help inform the interpretation of the benthic monitoring results (see section 1.6.4).

1.6.3.2 Conclusions of the RIAA

36. Although marine physical environment is not assessed within Volume 6. Report to Inform Appropriate Assessment (RIAA) (application ref: 6.1), effects such as the physical change to another seabed / sediment type are assessed upon benthic ecology (see section 1.6.4.2). As such monitoring proposed in Table 1-2 will be used to fulfil monitoring requirements in relation to benthic habitats.

1.6.3.3 Conclusions of the Marine Conservation Zone Assessment

37. The <u>Marine Conservation Zone aAssessment (MCZA)</u> concludes that the conservation objective of maintaining the protected features of the Holderness Inshore and Offshore Marine Conservation Zones (MCZs) in a favourable condition or restoring them to favourable conditions will **not be hindered** by the construction, operation and decommissioning phases of the Projects, or cumulatively with any other plan, project or activity (see **Volume 8, Stage 1 Marine Conservation Zone Assessment (MCZA) (application ref: 8.17)**). Therefore, no monitoring within the MCZs is proposed.

1.6.3.4 In-Principle Monitoring

38. **Table 1-2** provides information on the monitoring requirements for marine physical processes. The proposed monitoring would be discussed and agreed with the MMO in consultation with Natural England post-consent.

Unrestricted 005028843



Monitoring Proposal Potential Effect Receptor/s Headline reason/s for Stage monitoring Pre-construction Changes in seabed Physical environment Engineering and design Surveys within the agreed Array Areas and Offshore Export level and the and linked receptor purposes Cable Corridor (note, the Array Areas and part of the Offshore Export Cable Corridor fall within the Dogger Bank SAC) using fu sediment transport groups e.g., marine Input to benthic and seabed coverage swathe-bathymetric, MBES and SSS surveys regime, including ecology other related ecological the area(s) within the Offshore Development Area in which it is scour processes surveys and monitoring proposed to carry out construction works, including a 500m requirements as agreed buffer area around the site of each works (the "site of each with the MMO. works" being the area within the Offshore Development Area Sand wave / bank which is actually taken forwards to construction noting that it is characterisation possible that certain areas within the Offshore Development Area may not be developed). Inform scour modelling Where possible, alignment with the post-construction monitor would be undertaken. Structural integrity / Post- construction Surveys within the Array Areas and Offshore Export Cable engineering (scour) Corridor (note, the Array Areas and part of the Offshore Export Cable Corridor fall within the Dogger Bank SAC) using full seab Sand wave / bank coverage swathe-bathymetric MBES and SSS. For this purpose recovery rates and rethe undertaker would, prior to the first such survey, submit a de exposure of buried based assessment (based on detailed pre-construction survey cables. data and which takes account of all factors which influence scour) to identify the sample of adjacent wind turbines with greatest potential for scour. The survey would be used to validate the desk-based assessment: further surveys may be required if there are significant differences between the modelled scour (to be undertaken pre-construction) and recorded scour. The quantity of turbines subject to monitoring would be confirmed following the completion of detailed design studies and in consultation with the MMO. This would also inclu consideration of secondary scour i.e. scour around the perimet of installed scour protection. Geophysical surveys would be carried out both before and after construction both for engineering / asset integrity purposes ar to feed into the requirements for other environmental topics

Table 1-2 In-Principle Monitoring Proposed – Marine Physical Environment

such as benthic ecology and archaeology.

Dogger Bank South Offshore Wind Farms

	Details
ull s of s	A construction programme and monitoring plan would be submitted at least <u>6-six</u> months prior to commencement of licensed activities and would include details of proposed pre-construction surveys, baseline report format and content, construction monitoring, post-construction surveys and monitoring and related reporting.
ing	Detail of the pre-construction surveys and an outline of all proposed pre- construction monitoring shall be submitted to the MMO for written approval at least <u>4-six</u> months prior to the first survey.
t e esk	Detail of post-construction and operational monitoring is to be submitted at least <u>four_six</u> months prior to commissioning. Surveys carried out post- construction, with provision of the agreed reports in the agreed format in accordance with the agreed timetable, unless otherwise agreed in writing with the MMO in consultation with the relevant SNCBs.
n ıde ter	
er nd	



1.6.4 Benthic and Intertidal Ecology

1.6.4.1 Conclusions of the Environmental Statement

- 39. No residual effect was greater than **minor adverse** for DBS East or DBS West in insolation or the Projects together.
- 40. Although no significant effects are predicted with regards to benthic and intertidal communities, pre-construction surveys are included in order to determine if sensitive species / habitats are present and to ensure that those habitats and environments identified in the ES continue to be representative of the benthic environment in the Dogger Bank area.

1.6.4.2 Conclusions of the RIAA

The assessment concludes AEoI on the Dogger Bank SAC sandbank feature in relation to both Projects alone and in-combination effects (see Volume 6, RIAA (application ref: 6.1)). Therefore, monitoring within the Dogger Back SAC is proposed (see section 1.6.4.4).

1.6.4.3 Conclusions of the Marine Conservation Zone Assessment

- 42. The MCZA assessment concludes that the conservation objective of maintaining the protected features of the Holderness Inshore and Offshore MCZs in a favourable condition or restoring them to favourable conditions will **not be hindered** by the construction, operation and decommissioning phases of the Projects, or cumulatively with any other plan, project or activity (see **Volume 8, Stage 1 MCZA (application ref: 8.17)**).
- 43. In addition, following consideration of the MMO's Relevant Representation [RR-030:4.15.1], the Applicants have amended Condition 13 (3) of Deemed Marine Licences 3 and 4 within the Draft Development Consent Order (Revision 5) [REP1-005] to commit to no anchoring taking place within the Holderness Inshore Marine Conservation Zone (MCZ). Therefore, there is no longer any potential for direct impacts during cable installation activities to occur within the MCZ.
- 42.44. Therefore, no monitoring within the MCZs is proposed.
- 1.6.4.4 In-Principle Monitoring
- 43.45. As stated in section 1.4, monitoring must have a clear purpose in order to provide answers to specific questions where significant environmental effects have been identified. Monitoring should be targeted to address significant evidence gaps or uncertainty, which are relevant to the Projects and can be realistically filled, as well as those species or features considered to be the most sensitive to the potential effects including those of conservation, ecological and / or economic importance.

Unrestricted 005028843



- 44.<u>46.</u> In this instance, with respect to the conclusions of the ES<u>and MCZA</u>, no monitoring or independent surveys are required.
- 45.47. However, given the conclusion of AEoI on the Dogger Bank SAC sandbank feature, monitoring would likely be required. Impacts assessed in the RIAA can be grouped into two broad categories:
 - Abrasion and disturbance (temporary); this includes the following:
 - o Abrasion/disturbance of the substrate on the surface of the seabed.⁵
 - o Penetration and/or disturbance of the substratum below the surface of the seabed, including abrasion.
 - o Habitat structure changes removal of substratum (extraction).
 - o Smothering and siltation rate changes (Heavy & Light).
 - Habitat loss (permanent), this includes the following:
 - o Physical change (to another seabed type).
 - o Physical change (to another sediment type).
- 46.<u>48.</u> As noted in section 1.1, monitoring requirements in relation to HRA compensation are described in **Volume 6, Appendix 3 Project Level Dogger Bank Compensation Plan (application ref: 6.2.3)**.

⁵ Impact categories used in the RIAA are taken from Natural England's' Phase III Best Practice Advice for Evidence and Data Standards (Natural England, 2022) and are grouped here for ease



Potential Effect	Receptor/s	Stage	Headline reason/s for monitoring	Monitoring Proposal	Details
Abrasion and disturbance (temporary) Habitat loss (permanent)	Annex 1 sandbank	Pre- construction	Demonstrating the effects on habitat from the construction of the wind farm are in line with those assessed in the ES and HRA.	Geophysical surveys would be carried out to fulfil monitoring requirements in relation to both benthic habitats and archaeology (in addition to the engineering needs of the Projects, see section 1.6.1). The scope of the geophysical surveys would be determined to discharge conditions within the DMLs of Volume 3 , Draft DCO (application ref: (3.1) . From a benthic habitats' perspective, the geophysical surveys would include high resolution bathymetry and side scan sonar to classify the habitats (and any trends over time), whilst also informing the benthic sampling design. They would also be used to demonstrate the recovery of the physical form of the areas of seabed affected.	A construction programme and monitoring plan would be submitted at least <u>6-six</u> months prior to commencement of licensed activities and would include details of proposed pre-construction surveys, baseline report format and content, construction monitoring, post- construction surveys and monitoring and related reporting. Detail of the pre-construction
Abrasion and disturbance (temporary) Habitat loss (permanent)	Annex 1 sandbank	Pre- construction		It is proposed that a focussed programme of benthic grab sampling is used, both to ground truth the geophysical data and provide baseline particle size and benthic data for comparison with the post-construction position. Samples would be taken at a subset of works locations, which would be determined once the final design and layouts are known and confirmed.	surveys and outline of all proposed pre-construction monitoring shall be submitted to the MMO for written approval at least 4- <u>six</u> months prior to the first survey.
(permanency)				Grab sampling would be undertaken in the Array Areas, Inter-Platform Cabling Area and in the area of the Offshore Export Cable Corridor that overlaps with the Dogger Bank SAC. The sampling stations would be selected to capture the different range of habitats and environments identified in the ES, in order to ensure that they are representative of the benthic environment in the Dogger Bank area.	Detail of post-construction and operational monitoring is to be submitted at least <u>four-six</u> months prior to commissioning. Surveys carried out post- construction, with provision of the agreed reports in the
				No benthic sampling is proposed for the section of the Offshore Export Cable Corridor that lies outside the Dogger Bank SAC.	the agreed timetable, unless otherwise agreed in writing with the
				Grab sampling will be designed to capture localised, near-field, far-field and reference sites.	MMO in consultation with the relevant SNCBs.
				All grab samples will be analysed for infauna and particle size, with a sub- sample being taken for PSA. The particle size analysis will also be used to inform the monitoring undertaken in relation to sandeel (see section 1.6.5). MMO approved labs will be used to analyse results where applicable	
Abrasion and disturbance (temporary)	Annex 1 sandbank	Operation		The detail of the post-construction monitoring will be confirmed based on the pre-construction results. However, at this stage the strategy is to sample the same locations pre and post-construction, whilst taking an adaptive approach	
Habitat loss (permanent)				to the sampling effort and duration of the monitoring that is required.	

Table 1-3 In-Principle Monitoring Proposed – Benthic and Intertidal Ecology

Dogger Bank South Offshore Wind Farms



1.6.5 Fish and Shellfish Ecology

- 1.6.5.1 Conclusions of the Environmental Statement
- 47.<u>49.</u> No impact was greater than **minor adverse** for DBS East or DBS West in insolation or the Projects together.
- 48.50. Although no significant effects are predicted with regard to sandeel in the EIA, it is recognised that as an important prey species relevant to the nutrition function of the Dogger Bank SAC, therefore the suitability of habitat to support sandeel should be monitored.

1.6.5.2 Conclusions of the RIAA

49.51. The assessment concludes no potential for AEoI on the River Derwent or Humber Estuary SAC migratory fish species in relation to both Projects alone and in-combination effects (see **Volume 6, RIAA (application ref: 6.1)**). Therefore, no monitoring of migratory fish species is proposed.

1.6.5.3 In-Principle Monitoring

50.52. Table 1-4 provides information on the monitoring requirements for fish ecology. Where it is possible, synergies (e.g. collection of any required grab samples) with monitoring commitments made for benthic and intertidal ecology would be explored.



Table 1-4 In-Principle Monitoring Proposed – Fish and Shellfish Ecology

Potential Effect	Receptors	Stage	Headline reasons for monitoring	Monitoring Proposal	Details
Habitat loss	Sandeel	Pre- and post- construction	Determine the suitability of the wind farm site as sandeel habitat – demonstrating that any effects on habitat suitability from the construction of the wind farm are in line with those assessed in the ES.	Grab samples (number to be agreed with the MMO post-consent) to be taken at locations to be agreed with the MMO post-consent. Subsequent PSA of the samples to determine a likely preference or avoidance of the area by sandeels.	A construction programme and monitoring plan would be submitted at least <u>6-six</u> months prior to commencement of licensed activities and would include details of proposed pre-construction surveys, baseline report format and content, construction monitoring, post-construction surveys and monitoring and related reporting. Detail of the pre-construction surveys an outline of all proposed pre-construction monitoring shall be submitted to the MMO for written approval at least <u>4-six</u> months prior to the first survey. Detail of post-construction and operational monitoring is to be submitted at least <u>four-six</u> months prior to commissioning. Surveys carried out post- construction, with provision of the agreed reports in the agreed format in accordance with the agreed timetable, unless otherwise agreed in writing with the MMO in consultation with the relevant SNCBs.

Unrestricted

Page 27

005028843



1.6.6 Marine Mammals

1.6.6.1 Conclusions of the Environmental Statement

- 51.53. At a Projects-alone level, the residual effects from the Projects are assessed as no greater than **minor adverse** during construction for harbour porpoise, bottlenose dolphin, white-beaked dolphin, minke whale, grey seal and harbour seal from the following activities:
 - Piling (physical and auditory injury and disturbance effects);
 - Other construction activities (physical and auditory injury);
 - Underwater noise and disturbance from construction vessels (physical and auditory injury);
 - Barrier effects from underwater noise;
 - Increased risk of Collision with vessels;
 - Disturbance at seal haul-out sites;
 - Changes to prey availability; and
 - Changes to water quality.
- 52.54. During operation, no greater than **minor adverse** effects are assessed for grey and harbour seal and harbour porpoise from the following activities:
 - Underwater noise from operational turbines (physical and auditory injury);
 - Underwater noise from operation and maintenance activities (disturbance);
 - Underwater noise from operation and maintenance vessel disturbance;
 - Barrier effects from underwater noise;
 - Disturbance at seal haul-out sites;
 - Changes to prey availability; and
 - Changes to water quality.
- 53.55. The conclusions of the assessment are based on varying levels of confidence in the data used in the assessment. However, the conclusions of the assessment are of a precautionary nature where there is high uncertainty or low confidence in the data.



54.56. Following acceptance of Project Change Request 1 - Offshore and Intertidal Works [AS-141] by the Examining Authority in January 2025 (see Rule 9 and 17 letter - Procedural Decision and Request for further information dated 21st January 2025 [PD-012]), the Electrical Switching Platform (ESP) was removed from the Projects' Design Envelope. As a consequence, aAll potential cumulative residual effects for marine mammals were determined to be **minor adverse** (not significant) (see -**Appendix B**: Marine Mammal Environmental Statement Update [AS-143] for further information). apart from the cumulative effect associated with disturbance from other industries and activities. Grey seals have been assessed as no greater than moderate adverse while other species have been determined as no greater than **minor adverse**. Project-specific Site Integrity Plans (SIPs) for the Southern North Sea Special Area of Conservation (SAC) (Volume 8, In Principle Southern North Sea SAC Site Integrity Plan (SIP) (application ref: 8.26)), are proposed which would give due consideration to mitigation and monitoring, if deemed required.

1.6.6.2 Conclusions of the RIAA

55.57. At the Projects-alone level, the assessments of effect from the Projects conclude that there would be no potential for adverse effect for the assessed SAC for marine mammals, during construction or operation.

The assessments for the Projects in-combination with other schemes concluded that there was the potential for an AEoI of the Southern North Sea SAC (for harbour porpoise from cumulative underwater noise during OWF piling and other marine mammal species as a result of disturbance) due to incombination disturbance effects. However, with mitigation in place <u>throughfor</u> the implementation of Marine Mammal Mitigation Protocol (MMMP) for piling (**Volume 8, Outline MMMP (application ref: 8.25)**), and **Volume 8, In Principle Southern North Sea SAC SIP (application ref: 8.26)**, there would be no greater than **minor adverse** effect on integrity.

Unrestricted 005028843



1.6.6.3 In-Principle Monitoring

- 56.58. It is recognised that monitoring is an important element in the management and verification of the actual Projects' effects. The Volume 8, Outline MMMP (application ref: 8.25) and Volume 8, In Principle Southern North Sea Site Integrity Plan (SIP) (application ref: 8.26) contain key principles that provide the framework for any mitigation that could be required. As secured through the DMLs in the Volume 3, Draft DCO (application ref: 3.1), if piled foundations are used in the final project design, underwater noise monitoring of the first four piles of each piled foundation type would be undertaken with the methods agreed with the MMO and relevant SNCBs in the preconstruction period. It is also acknowledged that monitoring at large distance ranges during the construction phase would be required to validate any predictions from the underwater noise modelling (detailed in Appendix 11-3 Underwater Noise Modelling Report (Revision 2) [AS-137].
- 57.59. The Applicants are also supportive, in principle, of joint industry projects or alternative site based monitoring of existing marine mammal activity inside the area(s) within the Offshore Development Area in which it is proposed to carry out construction works and would welcome collaboration opportunities from SNCBs, non-government organisations or other developers in strategic monitoring programmes. This would likely be managed outwith the IPMP, through for example OWEAP.

1.6.7 Offshore Ornithology

1.6.7.1 Conclusions of the Environmental Statement

- 58.60. The potential effects that could arise during the construction, operation and decommissioning of the Projects have been discussed with Natural England, the Royal Society for the Protection of Birds and the MMO as part of the Evidence Plan Process (see Volume 7, Chapter 12 Offshore Ornithology (application ref: 7.12) of the ES.
- 59.61. At the DBS East and DBS West Projects-alone level, during the construction and operation and maintenance stages, no effects have been assessed to be greater than **minor adverse** for any bird species.
- 60.62. During construction, operation and maintenance stages, disturbance, displacement and barrier effects has been assessed as **minor adverse** significance for gannets (operation only), razorbills and guillemots.



- 61.63. Collision risk with wind turbines from DBS East and DBS West is assessed as minor adverse significance for fulmar, gannet, arctic skua, great skua, great black-backed gull, herring gull, kittiwake and, lesser black-backed gull, little gull and common gull when considered for all biological seasons against the most appropriate population scale.
- 62.64. Other schemes have been considered for how they might act cumulatively with the Projects and a screening process carried out. The cumulative assessment identified that most effects would be temporary, small scale and localised. Cumulative effects assessed included displacement and Collision risks and were assessed as **negligible** to **moderate adverse**.
- 63.65. The risk from cumulative operational displacement across all wind farms considered is assessed as no greater than **moderate adverse** significance for guillemots, while gannet, razorbill and puffin are assessed as no greater then **minor adverse**.
- 64.66. The risk to birds from cumulative collisions with wind turbines across all wind farms considered is assessed as no greater than **minor adverse** significance for all species except kittiwake and great black-backed gull which are assessed as **moderate adverse**. Therefore, it is proposed that any required monitoring should focus on the operational period when there is a pathway to the risk (collision with turbines) and, where possible, on kittiwake and great black-backed gull.
- 1.6.7.2 Conclusions of the RIAA
- 65.67. The Applicants' assessments conclude that a Projects-alone AEoI at all sites screened into the assessment can be ruled out.
- 66.68. In-combination AEoI is ruled out for all sites and species except kittiwake (collision risk) and guillemot (displacement) from the Flamborough and Filey Coast Special Protection Area (SPA).

1.6.7.3 In-Principle Monitoring

67.69. It is the position of the Applicants that any ornithological monitoring proposal should be targeted to address any effects, evidence gaps or uncertainty of most relevance to the Projects and the specific species. **Table 1-5** outlines the potential in-principle monitoring. It should be emphasised that the Projects could not address all evidence gaps and areas of uncertainty, and the Applicants would not expect that the Projects would deliver all of the potential measures identified in **Table 1-5**. Rather, the identified measures would form the basis of discussion with Natural England in order to determine those most appropriate to take forward to implementation.

Unrestricted 005028843



- 68.70. In order to take monitoring measures forward to implementation, they should address matters identified as:
 - Being of key importance in the assessments for the Projects;
 - Associated with particularly high uncertainty; and
 - Can be addressed effectively at the project sites.
- 69.71. It is therefore important that priorities should be set not only to improve understanding of key aspects of uncertainty in the project impact assessments, but also to make the most effective use of opportunities afforded by the location and design of the Projects. This would allow the Projects to contribute as much as possible to tackling areas of uncertainty that are not already being investigated elsewhere, and to avoid topics where conditions at the Array Areas make particular studies less feasible and less likely to provide clear results.
- 70.72. It is important also to recognise that monitoring programmes are being undertaken / planned for the Dogger Bank A, B and C and Sofia projects. Therefore, it is crucial to consider what current or planned programmes aim to study and ensure that there is not duplication of effort and that any monitoring for the Projects complements or enhances other efforts:
 - Monitoring of breeding populations within the Flamborough and Filey Coast SPA within foraging range of the Projects. Suitable monitoring and studies have been undertaken, it is therefore expected that the Projects would utilise these data to identify any relevant population changes. It is not, expected that the Projects would be required to undertake additional monitoring, but would need to ensure that existing activity continued.
 - Dogger Bank A, B & C monitoring. The primary focus of the Dogger Bank A and B ornithology monitoring is to consider the extent to which birds (gannet and kittiwake mainly) using the wind farm sites during the breeding season are breeding adults from Flamborough and Filey Coast SPA (or other SPAs) or are non-breeders / immatures etc. The premise being that the wind farm sites are so far offshore that it is likely few breeding birds from UK colonies would use it. A secondary element of the monitoring is to determine displacement rates for guillemot and razorbill during the non-breeding season (when auk numbers are at their peak on these sites). For Dogger Bank C the focus is broadscale distributions of birds (mainly kittiwakes and auks) from a range of east coast colonies (both England and Scotland) during the non-breeding season using geolocator tags to establish extent to which these birds use the wind farm site and Dogger Bank during the non-breeding seasons.

Unrestricted 005028843



- Sofia monitoring. This focusses on aerial surveys and use of LiDAR to measure flight heights (and hence derive collision risk implications). It also includes displacement studies on wintering auks.
- 73. A standalone offshore ornithological monitoring plan will be developed in the post-consent phase of the Projects in consultation with relevant stakeholders.
- 71.74. It is also noted that the Applicants have submitted derogation proposals for kittiwake and guillemot and therefore the compensatory measures proposed include monitoring of their success as part of the proposals, see Volume 6, Appendix 1 Project Level Kittiwake Compensation Plan (application ref: 6.2.1) and Volume 6, Appendix 2 Guillemot [and Razorbill] Compensation Plan (application ref: 6.2.2).



Potential Effect	Receptors	Stage	Headline reasons for monitoring	Monitoring Proposal	Details
Displacement Auks Pre-and Post Construction • To deterdisplace windfarm site		To determine the level of displacement from Array Areas	Determine whether there is a change in abundance and distribution within the Array Areas and appropriate buffer zones following construction of the Projects.	Analysis of pre- and positive windfarm site and burequirements for this work months before comments for pre-construction morequired would be based.	
					completion of construction Consideration would be distributions in order to a with respect to wind turb
Collision risk	Offshore ornithology	Post- construction	 Increase certainty of collision risk modelling parameters Record potential collisions with wind turbine blades 	Collision risk monitoring as agreed through consultation with the relevant SNCBs.	The Applicants could op alignment of the monito as possible with input fro The final details of the m Monitoring Plan to be pr Remote monitoring appl systems) would be invest technologies are consta details at this stage.
N/A	Kittiwake	Pre-and Post Construction	Success of compensation measures	Potential monitoring measures are outlined in Appendix 1 Project Level Kittiwake Compensation Plan (application ref: 6.2.1)	Monitoring could be dev Compensation Plan (Ste The final details of the m monitoring plan to be pr requirements of the Kitti Plan (KSIMP) as describe Compensation Plan (ap
N/A	Guillemot	Pre-and Post Construction	Success of compensation measures	Potential monitoring measures are outlined in Appendix 2 Guillemot [and Razorbill] Compensation Plan (application ref: 6.2.2)	Monitoring could be dev Compensation Plan (Ste The final details of the m monitoring plan to be pr requirements of the Guil Plan (GSIMP) as describe Razorbill] Compensatio

Table 1-5 In-Principle Monitoring Proposed – Offshore Ornithology

Dogger Bank South Offshore Wind Farms

t-construction aerial digital survey data of uffer zones could be undertaken. The detailed ould be submitted to the MMO for approval six accement of the first pre-construction survey onitoring. It is likely that the number of surveys d on a power analysis.

nonitoring, the detailed requirements would O for approval four <u>six</u> months prior to ion.

given to methods to investigate spatial consider displacement at different scales (i.e. pines or the Array Area as a whole).

itimise monitoring of this nature through oring programmes in the Dogger Bank as far om the relevant SNCBs.

nonitoring would be provided in the oduced post-consent.

roaches (e.g. camera and radar based tigated for consideration, noting that these intly developing so it <u>i</u>ts not realistic to provide

veloped and agreed by the Kittiwake eering Group<u>.</u>

nonitoring would be provided in the roduced post-consent in line with the iwake Strategic Implementation Monitoring ed within **Appendix 1 Project Level Kittiwake** oplication ref: **6.2.1**).

reloped and agreed by the Guillemot eering Group<u>.</u>

nonitoring would be provided in the roduced post-consent in line with the llemot Strategic Implementation Monitoring ed within **Appendix 2 Guillemot [and** on **Plan (application ref: 6.2.2)**.



1.6.8 Commercial Fisheries

1.6.8.1 Conclusions of the Environmental Statement

The effects on commercial fisheries during the construction, operation and decommissioning stages of the Projects found that there would be effects of **negligible** to **minor adverse** significance on commercial fishing fleet receptors, and **moderate adverse** effects (pending the development of further mitigation as discussed in **Volume 7**, **Chapter 13 Commercial Fisheries (application ref: 7.13)** and included within **Volume 8**, **Outline Fisheries Liaison and Coexistence Plan (FLCP) (application ref: 8.28)**) on the dredge and inshore static vessels during construction and decommissioning stages of the Projects.

1.6.8.2 In-Principle Monitoring

72.75. No monitoring in relation to commercial fisheries is considered necessary, other than the standard arrangements for fisheries liaison, which would be agreed in the FLCP prior to the start of construction. The FLCP would be produced in accordance with Volume 8, Outline FLCP (application ref: 8.28) submitted with the DCO application.

1.6.9 Shipping and Navigation

1.6.9.1 Conclusions of the Environmental Statement

 73.76. The residual effects of DBS East and DBS West have been assessed in
 Volume 7, Chapter 14 Shipping and Navigation (application ref: 7.14) of the ES with effects of broadly acceptable, with effects pre-additional mitigation being assessed as broadly acceptable to tolerable.

1.6.9.2 In-Principle Monitoring

74.77. **Table 1-6** provides information on the vessel traffic monitoring requirements for shipping and navigation.



Potential Effect	Receptors	Stage	Headline reasons for monitoring	Monitoring Proposal	Detai
Effects on the levels of marine traffic across the Offshore Development Area	Marine traffic	Construction	Validate the predictions made in the ES and Navigational Risk Assessment with respect to potential effects on the levels of shipping traffic.	Construction monitoring shall include vessel traffic monitoring by Automatic Identification System (AIS), including the provision of reports on the results of that monitoring periodically as requested by the Maritime Coastguard Agency (MCA).	During AIS we requir and M constr Post-o
		Post-construction		Vessel traffic monitoring in line with the Outline Marine Traffic Monitoring Plan by AIS, totalling a maximum of 28 days taking account of seasonal variations in traffic patterns over one year, following the commencement of commercial operation. A report would be submitted to the MMO and the MCA following the end of the monitoring and periodically, if required, as requested by the MCA.	e Outline totalling a of seasonal year, mercial MO and the ng and by the MCA.
Effect on marine traffic routeing and safety.	Marine Traffic	Construction	Ensure temporary aids to navigation are functional and fit for purpose.	Aids to Navigation Management plan that remains functional throughout the lifetime of the Projects with monitoring of Aids to Navigation function and reporting to Trinity House.	Aids to detaile Mana consu
		Post - construction	Ensure aids to navigation are functional and fit for purpose.		comm
Effect on marine traffic routeing and safety.	Marine Traffic	Post- Construction	To ensure charted depth remains in line with that agreed in consultation with the MCA and nautical charts remain up to date. To ensure that cables do not become exposed and present a snagging risk to fishing or anchoring vessels.	Periodic monitoring of cable burial / protection.	Period with a (this w and as deterr

Table 1-6 In-Principle Monitoring Proposed – Shipping and Navigation

Dogger Bank South Offshore Wind Farms

ils

ig construction, vessel traffic monitoring using yould be conducted, with the detailed rements for this being agreed with the MMO MCA six months before commencement of truction.

construction vessel traffic monitoring would line with the Outline Marine Traffic Monitoring and would consist of AIS monitoring for a mum of 28 days (but not consecutively) and d take account of seasonal variation of traffic erns over a year.

would be done at a suitable time as agreed the MMO and MCA following the mencement of commercial operation.

to Navigation monitoring and reporting to be led within the Aids to Navigation agement Plan to be agreed with the MMO, in ultation with Trinity House, prior to nencement of construction.

dic monitoring of cable burial / protection a risk-based approach to the management work would be undertaken for engineering asset integrity purposes, with the frequency mined by need).



1.6.10 Offshore Archaeology and Cultural Heritage

1.6.10.1 Conclusions of the Environmental Statement

75.78. The construction, operation and decommissioning stages of the Projects would result in a range of potential effects upon the marine archaeological and cultural heritage environment. At the Projects project-alone level, the effects that have been assessed are anticipated to be reduced to a **minor adverse** residual effect or are considered to be **negligible** on the basis of embedded mitigation and best practice, including further interpretation / assessment of geophysical and geotechnical data post consent. Furthermore, known archaeological receptors are not considered to be subject to significant cumulative effects on the basis that they should be avoided due to appropriate mitigation.

1.6.10.2 In-Principle Monitoring

76.79. Table 1-7 provides information on the monitoring requirements for offshore archaeology and cultural heritage. The principle mechanism for delivery of monitoring for offshore archaeology and cultural heritage is through agreement on the Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI) (Offshore) (in accordance with Volume 8, Outline WSI (Offshore) (application ref: 8.22) and / or further activity specific method statements to be agreed with the MMO in consultation with Historic England.



Table 1 - (In-Principle Monitoring	a Pronosød – ()ttshorø	Archapology and Cultural Hprit	ano
	grioposed onshore	i chaeologg and cultural rente	age

Potential Effect	Receptor/s	Stage	Headline reason/s for monitoring	Monitoring Proposal	De
All direct and indirect effects on the archaeological resource	All Archaeology receptors	Pre-construction / Construction	Validate the predictions made where reasonable in the ES with respect to potential effects on the archaeological resource and to inform selection of appropriate mitigation.	 Volume 8, Outline WSI (offshore) (application ref: 8.22) has been compiled which makes provision for all archaeological mitigation that might be required in the light of pre-construction investigations, including field investigation, post-fieldwork activities, archiving and dissemination of results. Full sea floor coverage swath-bathymetric surveys undertaken to International Hydrographic Organisation (IHO) Order 1A standard, geotechnical, magnetometer, geophysical and SSS of the area(s) within the Development Area in which it is proposed to carry out construction works, including a 500m buffer area around the site of each works. This should include the identification of sites of historic or archaeological interest (A1 receptors) and any unidentified anomalies to agreed dimensional criteria (A2 receptors), which may require the refinement, removal or introduction of archaeological exclusion zones and to confirm project specific micro-siting requirements (for A2 receptors). 	Th do as ag do mc Th Ou wit plc
All direct and indirect effects on the archaeological resource	All Archaeology receptors	Operation	Validate the predictions made in the ES, where reasonable, with respect to potential effects on the archaeological resource and to inform selection of appropriate mitigation	Specific requirements relating to monitoring during post- construction (including a conservation program for finds) as detailed in the WSI. Notably the Offshore Renewables Protocol for Archaeological Discoveries (ORPAD) shall be followed during all intrusive works.	Th 'pc arc op arc un the Su arc on

etails

he WSI includes provision to update the ocument as the project design is refined and is the results of further archaeological assessment become available. With the final greed WSI acting as a 'point-in-time' ocument and submitted to the MMO six onths in advance of the licenced activities.

ne Applicants have submitted **Volume 8,** utline WSI (Offshore) (application ref: 8.22) th the DCO application. A WSI would be in ace prior to licenced activities.

ne WSI produced pre- construction would be a oint-in-time' document, with the specific ethodology for each subsequent package of rchaeological works (i.e. construction or peration) to be taken forward through rchaeological method statements produced oder the umbrella of the WSI and agreed with e archaeological curator.

rvey and work package specific chaeological objectives would be established a case-by-case basis.



References

Cefas (2012). Guidelines for data acquisition to support marine environmental assessments of offshore renewable energy projects.

Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities (2012). National Planning Policy Framework. Available at: <u>https://www.gov.uk/guidance/national-planning-policy-</u> <u>framework/4-decision-making</u> [Accessed February 2023]

DESNZ (2023). Overarching National Policy Statement for Energy (EN-1). Available at: <u>https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/65bbfbdc709fe1000f637052/overarc</u> <u>hing-nps-for-energy-en1.pdf</u> [Accessed February 2023]

Glasson, J., Therivel, R., & Chadwick, A. (2011). Introduction to Environmental Impact Assessment Fourth Edition.

MMO (2014). Review of Post-Consent Offshore Wind Farm Monitoring Data Associated with Licence Conditions. A report produced for the Marine Management Organisation. pp. 1-181. MMO Project No: 1031. Available at:

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/5a74a50fed915d0e8e399ddb/1031. pdf [Accessed February 2023]



RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited

RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited

Windmill Hill Business Park Whitehill Way Swindon Wiltshire, SN5 6PB